

# Woodbury University


## 2017 Spring Course Evaluation

**Course:** 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S1: 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S1

**Instructor:** Nathan Garrett \*

### At A Glance : At a Glance Report

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree



- Q1 - I find the course intellectually challenging and stimulating
- Q2 - I learned and now understand the course materials
- Q3 - Instructor has a genuine interest in individual students
- Q4 - Instructor is adequately accessible to students after class or during office hours
- Q5 - Instructor is enthusiastic about teaching the course
- Q6 - Feedback on coursework, including examinations and graded materials, is valuable
- Q7 - Methods of evaluating student work are fair and appropriate
- Q8 - The instructor is well prepared and explains material carefully
- Q9 - Students are encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor
- Q10 - Overall, this course is very good
- Q11 - Overall, this instructor is very good
- Q12 - The course workload is

### 1 - I find the course intellectually challenging and stimulating

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	30%						3.80	4.35	4.38
Agree	(4)	3	30%								
Neutral	(3)	3	30%								
Disagree	(2)	1	10%								
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
10/23 (43.48%)	3.80	1.03	4.00	495	4.35	0.85	5.00	184	4.38	0.81	5.00

\* This course was too difficult.

### 2 - I learned and now understand the course materials

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	20%						3.60	4.37	4.40
Agree	(4)	4	40%								
Neutral	(3)	2	20%								
Disagree	(2)	2	20%								
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
10/23 (43.48%)	3.60	1.07	4.00	493	4.37	0.82	5.00	182	4.40	0.78	5.00

**Woodbury University**  
**2017 Spring Course Evaluation**

**Course:** 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S1: 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S1

**Instructor:** Nathan Garrett \*

3 - Instructor has a genuine interest in individual students												
Nathan Garrett												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	20%							3.90	4.42	4.43
Agree	(4)	5	50%									
Neutral	(3)	3	30%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
10/23 (43.48%)	3.90	0.74	4.00	500	4.42	0.86	5.00	185	4.43	0.89	5.00	

4 - Instructor is adequately accessible to students after class or during office hours												
Nathan Garrett												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	40%							4.10	4.46	4.42
Agree	(4)	3	30%									
Neutral	(3)	3	30%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
10/23 (43.48%)	4.10	0.88	4.00	499	4.46	0.76	5.00	185	4.42	0.78	5.00	

5 - Instructor is enthusiastic about teaching the course												
Nathan Garrett												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	30%							4.10	4.56	4.59
Agree	(4)	5	50%									
Neutral	(3)	2	20%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
10/23 (43.48%)	4.10	0.74	4.00	502	4.56	0.73	5.00	185	4.59	0.65	5.00	

6 - Feedback on coursework, including examinations and graded materials, is valuable												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	20%							4.00	4.35	4.39
Agree	(4)	6	60%									
Neutral	(3)	2	20%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
10/23 (43.48%)	4.00	0.67	4.00	491	4.35	0.89	5.00	184	4.39	0.82	5.00	

**Woodbury University**  
**2017 Spring Course Evaluation**

**Course:** 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S1: 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S1

**Instructor:** Nathan Garrett \*

7 - Methods of evaluating student work are fair and appropriate												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree		(5)	2	20%					3.70	4.42	4.47	
Agree		(4)	5	50%								
Neutral		(3)	1	10%								
Disagree		(2)	2	20%								
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0%								
					0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
10/23 (43.48%)	3.70	1.06	4.00	494	4.42	0.86	5.00	184	4.47	0.80	5.00	

8 - The instructor is well prepared and explains material carefully												
Nathan Garrett												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree		(5)	2	20%					3.60	4.48	4.54	
Agree		(4)	5	50%								
Neutral		(3)	0	0%								
Disagree		(2)	3	30%								
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0%								
					0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
10/23 (43.48%)	3.60	1.17	4.00	495	4.48	0.79	5.00	182	4.54	0.75	5.00	

9 - Students are encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree		(5)	4	40%					4.20	4.54	4.54	
Agree		(4)	5	50%								
Neutral		(3)	0	0%								
Disagree		(2)	1	10%								
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0%								
					0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
10/23 (43.48%)	4.20	0.92	4.00	491	4.54	0.76	5.00	182	4.54	0.78	5.00	

10 - Overall, this course is very good												
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree		(5)	3	30%					3.70	4.38	4.45	
Agree		(4)	3	30%								
Neutral		(3)	2	20%								
Disagree		(2)	2	20%								
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0%								
					0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
10/23 (43.48%)	3.70	1.16	4.00	495	4.38	0.87	5.00	183	4.45	0.81	5.00	

**Woodbury University**  
**2017 Spring Course Evaluation**

**Course:** 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S1: 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S1

**Instructor:** Nathan Garrett \*

**11 - Overall, this instructor is very good**

**Nathan Garrett**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	30%					4.00	4.51	4.55	
Agree	(4)	4	40%								
Neutral	(3)	3	30%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
10/23 (43.48%)	4.00	0.82	4.00	500	4.51	0.79	5.00	185	4.55	0.74	5.00

**12 - The course workload is**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Extremely heavy	(5)	1	10%					3.80	3.41	3.33	
Heavy	(4)	6	60%								
Appropriate	(3)	3	30%								
Light	(2)	0	0%								
Extremely light	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
10/23 (43.48%)	3.80	0.63	4.00	494	3.41	0.68	3.00	184	3.33	0.66	3.00

**13 - Do you have any other recommendations?**

**Return Rate** 2/23 (8.7%)

- I would have loved to learn and focus more on and in excel. I felt that it was too rushed and the material that was taught did not stick with me. The Capsim Stimulation makes more sense to have in our financial management classes and felt it was a waste of time for this particular class.
- Sometimes the instructions went too fast.

# Woodbury University


## 2017 Spring Course Evaluation

**Course:** 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S2: 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S2

**Instructor:** Nathan Garrett \*

### At A Glance : At a Glance Report

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree



- Q1 - I find the course intellectually challenging and stimulating
- Q2 - I learned and now understand the course materials
- Q3 - Instructor has a genuine interest in individual students
- Q4 - Instructor is adequately accessible to students after class or during office hours
- Q5 - Instructor is enthusiastic about teaching the course
- Q6 - Feedback on coursework, including examinations and graded materials, is valuable
- Q7 - Methods of evaluating student work are fair and appropriate
- Q8 - The instructor is well prepared and explains material carefully
- Q9 - Students are encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor
- Q10 - Overall, this course is very good
- Q11 - Overall, this instructor is very good
- Q12 - The course workload is

### 1 - I find the course intellectually challenging and stimulating

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	80%					4.80	4.35	4.38	
Agree	(4)	1	20%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
5/14 (35.71%)	4.80	0.45	5.00	495	4.35	0.85	5.00	184	4.38	0.81	5.00

### 2 - I learned and now understand the course materials

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	40%					4.40	4.37	4.40	
Agree	(4)	3	60%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
5/14 (35.71%)	4.40	0.55	4.00	493	4.37	0.82	5.00	182	4.40	0.78	5.00

**Woodbury University**  
**2017 Spring Course Evaluation**

**Course:** 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S2: 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S2

**Instructor:** Nathan Garrett \*

3 - Instructor has a genuine interest in individual students												
Nathan Garrett												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	60%					4.20	4.42	4.43		
Agree	(4)	1	20%									
Neutral	(3)	0	0%									
Disagree	(2)	1	20%									
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
5/14 (35.71%)	4.20	1.30	5.00	500	4.42	0.86	5.00	185	4.43	0.89	5.00	

4 - Instructor is adequately accessible to students after class or during office hours												
Nathan Garrett												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	60%					4.60	4.46	4.42		
Agree	(4)	2	40%									
Neutral	(3)	0	0%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
5/14 (35.71%)	4.60	0.55	5.00	499	4.46	0.76	5.00	185	4.42	0.78	5.00	

5 - Instructor is enthusiastic about teaching the course												
Nathan Garrett												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	80%					4.80	4.56	4.59		
Agree	(4)	1	20%									
Neutral	(3)	0	0%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
5/14 (35.71%)	4.80	0.45	5.00	502	4.56	0.73	5.00	185	4.59	0.65	5.00	

6 - Feedback on coursework, including examinations and graded materials, is valuable												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses				Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	40%					4.20	4.35	4.39		
Agree	(4)	2	40%									
Neutral	(3)	1	20%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
5/14 (35.71%)	4.20	0.84	4.00	491	4.35	0.89	5.00	184	4.39	0.82	5.00	

\* Goes above and beyond to provide lengthy feedback to every individual. Much appreciated!

# Woodbury University

## 2017 Spring Course Evaluation

**Course:** 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S2: 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S2

**Instructor:** Nathan Garrett \*

### 7 - Methods of evaluating student work are fair and appropriate

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	60%				4.40	4.42	4.47		
Agree	(4)	1	20%								
Neutral	(3)	1	20%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
5/14 (35.71%)	4.40	0.89	5.00	494	4.42	0.86	5.00	184	4.47	0.80	5.00

### 8 - The instructor is well prepared and explains material carefully

Nathan Garrett

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	60%				4.60	4.48	4.54		
Agree	(4)	2	40%								
Neutral	(3)	0	0%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
5/14 (35.71%)	4.60	0.55	5.00	495	4.48	0.79	5.00	182	4.54	0.75	5.00

### 9 - Students are encouraged to express their own ideas and/or question the instructor

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	2	40%				4.00	4.54	4.54		
Agree	(4)	1	20%								
Neutral	(3)	2	40%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
5/14 (35.71%)	4.00	1.00	4.00	491	4.54	0.76	5.00	182	4.54	0.78	5.00

### 10 - Overall, this course is very good

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	60%				4.40	4.38	4.45		
Agree	(4)	1	20%								
Neutral	(3)	1	20%								
Disagree	(2)	0	0%								
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%								
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median
5/14 (35.71%)	4.40	0.89	5.00	495	4.38	0.87	5.00	183	4.45	0.81	5.00

• course is good but difficult

**Woodbury University**  
**2017 Spring Course Evaluation**

**Course:** 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S2: 2017\_SPRING\_MGMT.336.S2

**Instructor:** Nathan Garrett \*

11 - Overall, this instructor is very good												
Nathan Garrett												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	3	60%						4.40	4.51	4.55	
Agree	(4)	1	20%									
Neutral	(3)	1	20%									
Disagree	(2)	0	0%									
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
5/14 (35.71%)	4.40	0.89	5.00	500	4.51	0.79	5.00	185	4.55	0.74	5.00	
• One of the best!												

12 - The course workload is												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses					Means			
Extremely heavy	(5)	2	40%						4.00	3.41	3.33	
Heavy	(4)	1	20%									
Appropriate	(3)	2	40%									
Light	(2)	0	0%									
Extremely light	(1)	0	0%									
				0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	School Aggregate	Department Aggregate	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	School Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	Department Aggregate	Mean	STD	Median	
5/14 (35.71%)	4.00	1.00	4.00	494	3.41	0.68	3.00	184	3.33	0.66	3.00	

13 - Do you have any other recommendations?	
Return Rate	0/14 (0%)